1 2 3	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ALSTYN BENNETT Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814	
5	Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00012-TLN
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER
14	ELIZABETH ACUNA, DATE: May 5, 2022	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley
16 17	STIF	PULATION
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on May 5, 2022.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until July 14	
22	2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between May 5, 2022, and July 14, 2022, under Local Code T4	
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:
24	a) The government has repres	sented that the discovery associated with this case
25	includes approximately 150 pages documents, including investigative reports, photographs, and	
26	drug analysis reports, as well as audio and video recordings. All of this discovery has been	
27	either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.	
28	b) Counsel for the government	nt has indicated that additional discovery, including

///

19 || /

search warrants, memorandums, and reports are forthcoming.

- c) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the additional discovery with her client, and to conduct investigation and research into mitigation factors.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of May 5, 2022 to July 14, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Case 2:22-cr-00012-TLN Document 17 Filed 05/03/22 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the	
2	Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial	
3	must commence.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5		
6		
7	Dated: May 2, 2022	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
8		/_/ A L CTY/NI DENINIETT
9		/s/ ALSTYN BENNETT ALSTYN BENNETT
10		Assistant United States Attorney
11		HEATHED D. WILLIAMS
12		HEATHER D. WILLIAMS Federal Defender
13	Dated: May 2, 2022	/s/ MEGAN T. HOPKINS
14	Dated. May 2, 2022	MEGAN T. HOPKINS
15		Counsel for Defendant ELIZABETH ACUNA
16		
17		
18	ORDER	
19	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 2 nd day of May, 2022.	
20		\sim
21		
22		My - Muntal
23		Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge
24		Officed States District Judge
25		
26		
27		
28		